CONSULAR TREATY **CLEARED FOR VOTE**

Senate Blocks All Moves by Republicans to Alter It-Approval Today Likely

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 15-The Senate cleared the way today for approval of the United States-Soviet consular treaty by rejecting a scries of Republican-sponsored reservations.

A final vote on the threeyear-old pact was put off until tomorrow. It appeared that the convention—the first bilateral treaty between the two nations would be approved by substantially more than the required two-thirds vote.

The treaty, signed in 1964 but not brought up for Senate laction until this year, has bee come symbolically important in the Administration's current "bridge-building" policy toward , the Soviet Union.

Senate approval of the treaty would mark the first legislative endorsement of that policy.

Two Features

The treaty lays down the guidelines for resumption of consular relations between the two nations, broked off in 1948. Basically it follows the pattern of other consular conventions, but it has two distinctive features.

One provision, incorporated for the first time in any consular agreement signed by the United States, extends from criminal charges immunity to officials and employes of consulates. Much of the controversy over the treaty has focused on this provision, which opponents say would provide protection for Soviet spies.

The other distinctive provision establishes strict rules of consular notification and access for Americans arrested in the Soriet Union. This measure has been emphasized by the Adminstration as necessary for the protection of the growing number of American tourists visiting the Soviet Union — 18,000 last year.

st year. By decisive votes today, the Senate rejected conservative Republican reservations that would have made implementation of the treaty contingent upon an end to Soviet military aid to Vietnam.

Administration spokesmen held a majority together with the argument that the effect of the reservations might be to kill the treaty by provoking the Soviet Union into rejection of the convention. The Soviet, too, has not yet ratified the pact.

The Administration's closest call came not on a reservation simply an expression of hope but on an understanding offered by Margaret Chase Smith, Republican of Maine.

publican of Maine.

This expressed the hope of the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States consented to the language of the treaty or United States or Counter that has been unlike the reservations, it is not unlike the reservations.

Basically, the treaty has befrom Secretary of State Dean nam war.

The Central Issue

As the week-long debate on viet Union would retailate with it reached a climax today, Viet-tts own understandings. nam became the central issue. Saying the Soviet could "fabA small opposition group ficate many reasons" for relargely from conservative Republican ranks—argued that
now, when Soviet arms supplied letting the treaty, Senator
to North Vietnam were killing mith asked:
American troops in Vietnam, "Are we to act in such fearmake a friendly gesture toward of the Soviet Union? make a friendly gesture toward of the Soviet Union?

servations, offered by Karl E point in the Senate of the Unit-Mundt, Republican of South States where we do not have treaty not be ratified until the the courage to proclaim the President reported to Congressplain truth and express a hope that United States forces were for peace in Vietnam." no longer needed for combat in Vietnam or asured Congress that removal of United States forces was not being prevented or delayed by Soviet military assistance to North Vietnam. It was defeated 67 to 25.

By 70 to 20 the Senate re-jected a reservation of fered by Carl T. Curtis, Republican of Rebraska. It would have pre-

vented ratification until the President, after .consultation with the oint Chiefs of Staff, reported to Congress that the Soviet had ceased "all military support and assistance of any kind, directly or indirectly, to North Vietnam."

The Senate then went on to defeat, 68 to 24, a reservation by Strom Thurmond, Republican of South Carolina. It would have specified that nothing in the convention "shall be convention" strued as in any way diminishing, abridging or weakening the right of the United States to safeguard its own security."

By agreement, the Senate leadership saved until the last what it realized could be the most ticklish political issue— the understanding offered by Senator Smith.

With her customary red rose mined to her dress Mrs. Smith raued that her proposal was

for peace in Vietnam and that unlike the reservations, it in no

come a test of the willingnessRusk warning that adoption of of the United States to make the understanding might jeo-conciliatory gesture toward these soviet ratification and Soviet Union despite the Vietraliance possibility that the So-

the Soviet.

One of the Republican re-Soviet Union has reached a